The Influence of the Aerobic Training on Muscle Hypertrophy: Literature Review

Abstract

The literature presents some studies that show that aerobic exercise is responsible for mediating muscle catabolism. Thus, it is interpreted that continuous aerobic exercise produces little or no increase in muscle hypertrophy. The purpose of this review was to demonstrate the effects of aerobic training on muscle hypertrophy alone or in conjunction with resistance training. The present study is characterized by a review of the narrative literature; the databases of SciELO, Google academic and PubMed were consulted. Many studies show that aerobic training (AT) can promote hypertrophic responses in untrained individuals, however, these responses are related to exercise variables such as intensity, volume, frequency, and modality. Higher, continuous or interval intensities, at least 80% of the HRmax, seem to be capable of promoting hypertrophic responses when compared to low intensities. In addition, the training volume may also influence this response and different modalities may have a distinct response to muscle hypertrophy. While the combination of AT and resistance training (RT) corresponds to concurrent training (CT), the literature demonstrates that AT in CT can negatively affect acute and chronic hypertrophic responses depending on intensity, volume, mode and training schedule.
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1 Introduction

In literature it is not clear the role of aerobic training (TA) on the muscle hypertrophy yet. Some evidences in the literature show that aerobic exercise is responsible for mediating muscle catabolism. Atherton et al. led a pioneering study that explained the differences in the responses of the intracellular signaling between two types of exercises. Using an animal model, the isolated plantar flexor muscle of rats was stimulated in two ways, with high frequency intermittently, simulating the stimulus of the resistive exercise or low frequency continuously simulating continuous exercise. The analyzes after the intervention showed that the low frequency stimulation increased the phosphorylation of activated protein kinase (AMPK by adenosine monophosphate) and high frequency stimulation reduced the phosphorylation of this protein. However, the phosphorylation of threonine:serotonin tyrosine kinase (AKT) protein increased with the stimulus of high frequency and reduced by stimulation of low frequency. Thus, it seems that the intracellular responses via AMPK or AKT may be mediated by the type of exercise. In addition, the opposite intracellular signaling can reduce the adaptive responses of skeletal muscle through the interaction between these two proteins.

However, some studies demonstrate that the TA alone can promote the hypertrophy by increasing the activation of rapamycin (mTOR) a protein important in the process of intracellular signaling in the anabolism process. On the other hand, the TA when performed together with resistance training (RT) seems to compromise the hypertrophic adaptations.
promoted by the TR$^{5,6}$. The purpose of this review was to demonstrate the effects of aerobic training on muscle hypertrophy alone or in conjunction with resistance training.

2 Development

This study aims to outline the literature of review narrative. Books were analyzed regarding the theme and international scientific articles found in the Scielo databases, Google Scholar and PubMed. The following words were used in the Virtual Health Library (VHL) in Portuguese: “hipertrofia muscular”, “treinamento aeróbico”, “treinamento resistido”, “treinamento concorrente” and English: “hypertrophic muscle”, “aerobic training”, “resistance training”, “concurrent training”. For the inclusion criterion an analysis was used by checking the studies that showed a relation with the theme of this study.

2.1 Discussion

2.1.1 Effects of aerobic exercise on the hypertrophy

Some studies in the literature show that TA can promote hypertrophic responses in untrained individuals. With 12 weeks of TA it was able to promote increases in skeletal muscle mass in men and untrained women$^7$. This answer seems to be mediated by increased anabolic mediated by insulin signaling; increase in the transport of amino acids; increased blood flow and perfusion in skeletal muscle; reduction of the catabolic signaling proteins as myostatin; increase in the number and size of the mitochondria$^{1,6,7}$. However some training variables should be analyzed in adapting cardiomyopathy induced by TA such as intensity, volume, frequency and type$^1$.

Some studies in the literature indicate that higher intensities are necessary for achieving significant muscle growth through the TA$^{1,3}$. Trape et al.$^8$ observed a decrease in the cross-sectional area (AST) of approximately 20% in the fibers of type I and II after 13 weeks of TA with low intensity for marathon race. This shows that the TA with this intensity is not beneficial to hypertrophy. In spite of not being established in the literature which specific intensity that the TA promotes hypertrophy, it is suggested that the minimum intensity of 80% of heart rate reserve (HRR) being continuous TA promotes hypertrophy, it is suggested that the minimum intensity for marathon race. This shows that the TA with this intensity is not beneficial to hypertrophy. In spite of not being established in the literature which specific intensity that the TA promotes hypertrophy, it is suggested that the minimum intensity for marathon race.

The impact of the volume may be dependent on the frequency. Scharwts et al.$^9$ compared changes in body composition in young men and elderly patients after 6 months of the TA protocol. The results showed an increase in muscle mass only in elderly men with no change in young men. However, the authors emphasized that adherence to the training protocol was higher for elderly individuals, this way the hypertrophic benefits found in this population are involved directly by the training frequency.

In relation to the hypertrophic responses mediated by different modalities of TA, they are still not clear. Some studies involving this theme has used the cycling and have demonstrated increased muscle growth$^1$. Whereas Schwartz et al.$^9$ found an increase in muscle mass only in elderly individuals after 6 months of training protocol involving the modalities of walking and running. On the other hand, Sipila and Suominen$^{10}$ showed that elderly women who underwent combination of step and race with intensities above 80% of the FCR did not show significant increases after 18 weeks of training. Agreeing with these findings, Jubrias et al.$^{11}$ showed that the AST of elderly men and women did not suffer changes after 24 weeks involving climbing and rowing exercises types being that the training protocol consisted of progressive increase to up to 85% FCR. Thus, the hypertrophic response induced by other forms of aerobic exercise with the exception of cycling still require further investigation.

2.1.2 Effects of isolated continuous aerobic exercise on the hypertrophy

TA is often combined with the TR to accelerate the reduction of body weight, improvement in sports performance, or both. This strategy is called concurrent training (CT), this type of training has demonstrated positive effects on body weight maintenance$^{12}$. However, some evidences show that in TR programs, TA can influence or even affect muscle growth. The negative effects of TC on the hypertrophy is known as chronic interference. The hypothesis of this interference seems to occur by the fact that skeletal muscle is unable to maximize its = morphological and metabolic adaptations to TR or TA$^3$. Similar to the hypothesis of interaction of AMPK-Akt, chronic interference of both training seems to promote competitive adjustments that produce differences in intracellular responses reducing the hypertrophic gains.

Despite the logical foundations of the hypothesis of the interference of TA in hypertrophic gains induced by RT, these effects are not well understood in humans yet. Some studies have shown that the combination of TA and TR prevents the anabolic signaling induced by RT$^{13,14}$, others seem that the TA does not affect this signs$^{15}$. However, the acute effect of TC does not seem to affect the muscle proteins synthesis $^{16,17}$.

Although the TA does not affect acutely the synthesis of proteins induced by RT, chronically TA seems to negatively affect muscle growth. Acutely TA can interfere with the TR ability, which can cause fatigue, through the increase of local metabolites and/or depletion of substrate, compromising the TR quality$^{17}$. So, the muscle adaptations are harmed by the reduction of capacity, intensity and reduction in the volume of the session of the TR these being important factors in the process of stimulus to the myofibrillar growth$^6$. Another potential mechanism of TC which can compromise the hypertrophic process is overtraining. Because when the
volume or intensity of training exceeds the capacity of the body recovery the anabolic process is compromised. In addition, stress added by TA can promote an increase of catabolic hormones.

Studies that investigated the results of TC in a chronic form on the muscle adaptations showed that the TA seems to affect the muscle growth. The meta-analysis by Wilson et al.1 showing the size of the effects for muscle growth was reduced by around 50% in weight lifters when the TA was added to RT. Kraemer et al.18 showed that after 12 weeks of training, the TR group presented an increase in the AST of the fibers type II, Ila and IIC, whereas the CT group there was only an increase of AST of type IIa fibers. Agreeing with these findings Bell et al.19 found similar results with active students, after 12 weeks of TA in the stationary bicycle, TR and TC. The TR group presented an increase in the AST of fibers of type I and II, but the TC increase occurred only in the fibers of type II. However, there are several factors by which the TA can influence the muscle adaptations associated with the RT. In particular, the variables of the TA such as intensity, volume and frequency, modality and programming are fundamental in this answer.

Some evidences suggest that the model called sprint interval training of high intensity is more harmful to the intracellular anabolic flags that the model moderate intensity continuous training19. Apparently due to the great interference associated to high intensity training, it seems that TA with lower intensities is more indicated to maximize muscle hypertrophy during TC. However, the results and conclusions of these studies were through acute responses of TC.

The volume can have a big impact on hypertrophic interference associated with TC, potentially related to the symptoms induced by overtraining through muscle catabolism induced by the hormonal system and glycogen depletion20. Wilson et al.5 revealed a statistically significant negative correlation between muscle hypertrophy and volume (duration and frequency) of TA during TC, specifically the duration presents a strong inverse correlation with muscle hypertrophy.

But the frequency variations of TA may also influence the responses of TC. Jones et al.21 demonstrated that individuals have gone through a protocol of RT for 3 days per week and TA were added to this Protocol. The results showed that the circumference of the quadriceps was greater for the protocol that performed only TR (+4.3%) for the groups that underwent 1 and 3 days of TA, there was an increase (+2.8% and 1%, respectively). These results indicate that the frequency of TA can decrease the muscle hypertrophy in TC.

Among the modalities of TA, the most studied ones are running and cycling. The meta-analysis by Wilson et al.1 revealed that running has particular negative effect on hypertrophic adaptation associated with TR, while cycling seems not to harm the hypertrophic response. In contrast, Panissa et al.22 demonstrated that training with high intensity cycling promotes greater harm to strength gains compared to running high intensity before starting the RT.

Depending on the purpose of the training program, the TA can be done in the same session of TR or on alternate days. Some studies have assessed whether the order of TA and TR in the same session of TC may affect intracellular responses. Coffey et al.12 investigated the acute effects of a combined training session involving the knee extension and cycling with moderate intensities. Biking performed before exercise resulted in the increase of Akt but a reduction of IGF1 mRNA, in opposite sequence there was an increase in the IGF1 mRNA and increased IGF1 mRNA. On the chronic effect of exercise order of TC in the same session show that the gains of forces are similar regardless of the order23,24. Therefore, it seems that the mechanical tension seems not to be affected by order of TA and TR in the same session of TC. Cadore et al.25 found similar results of muscle diameter increases regardless if the TA or TR was done first in the TC session. Similarly, Davit et al.26 observed that the changes in the body composition were not affected by the TA performed before or after the TR. These studies seem not clarify safely yet on the importance of the sequence of TA and TR in TC on the muscle hypertrophy. The literature has pointed out that the recovery period between the TA and TR of few hours or alternate days seems to minimize the effects on hypertrophic adaptation2. Although the sequence of TA seems to interfere in the hypertrophic response, studies involving this issue are still scarce in the literature.

3 Conclusion

Unlike the thought of a large majority of the professionals of physical education, TA can promote muscle hypertrophy in sedentary subjects, being these gains especially in the fibers of type I. However, some factors such as intensity, volume, frequency and modality may influence this response. Training with intensity above 80% of HR seems to promote greater gains in muscle mass. TC may interfere in the hypertrophic adaptations, particularly the TA with high volume seems to impair the hypertrophy. The negative effects of TC can be minimized if the recovery between TA and TR is a few hours or alternate days.
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